

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/478,825	ABECASSIS, MAX	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thai Tran	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Thai Tran.

(2) EUGENE M. CUMMINGS

Status of Application: _____

(3) DAVID M. MUNDT

(4) DAVID LESHT

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 101 and 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claims discussed:

70-96

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the proposed amendment changing to "a segment code which prohibits" appears to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection the supports for the claimed limitations have been shown in the specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/Supervising Examiner Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)